

EXHIBIT 1

Page 1

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
3 CAMDEN VICINAGE

4 IN RE: VALSARTAN, § MDL NO. 2875
5 LOSARTAN, AND §
6 IRBESARTAN PRODUCTS § HONORABLE ROBERT B. KUGLER
7 LIABILITY LITIGATION § DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

8 ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
9 JOHN L. QUICK
10 JANUARY 27, 2022

11
12
13 ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JOHN L. QUICK,
14 produced as a witness at the instance of the
15 Defendants and duly sworn, was taken in the above
16 styled and numbered cause on Thursday,
17 January 27, 2022, from 9:33 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.,
18 before TAMARA CHAPMAN, CSR, RPR-CRR in and for the
19 State of Texas, reported by computerized stenotype
20 machine, at the offices of Slack Davis Sanger, LLP,
21 6001 Bold Ruler Way, Suite 100, Austin, Texas,
22 pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and
23 any provisions stated on the record herein.
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S):

John R. Davis

SLACK DAVIS SANGER, LLP

6001 Bold Ruler Way, Suite 100

Austin, Texas 78746

512-795-8686

jdavis@slackdavis.com

Layne Hilton

Conlee S. Whiteley

David J. Stanoch

KANNER & WHITELEY, L.L.C.

701 Camp Street

New Orleans, Louisiana 70130

504-524-5777

l.hilton@kanner-law.com

c.whiteley@kanner-law.com

d.stanoch@kanner-law.com

Ruben Honik

HONIK LLC

1515 Market Street, Suite 1100

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102

267-435-1300

ruben@honiklaw.co

FOR PLAINTIFF MSP RECOVERY CLAIMS, SERIES, LLC:

Charlie Whorton

RIVERO MESTRE, LLP

2525 Ponce De Leon Boulevard, Suite 1000

Miami, Florida 33134

305-445-2500

cwhorton@riveromestre.com

Page 12

1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here begins the
2 deposition of John Quick. Today's date is
3 January 27th, 2022. The time is 9:33 a.m.

4 Will the court reporter please swear
5 in the witness?

6 THE REPORTER: And will you introduce
7 yourselves for the record?

8 MS. ISIDRO: Nilda Isidro from
9 Greenberg Traurig on behalf of defendant, Teva.

10 MR. KERNER: I'm Glenn Kerner from
11 Greenberg Traurig, also on behalf of Teva.

12 MR. DAVIS: John Davis, Slack Davis
13 Sanger, on behalf of the plaintiffs.

14 MS. HILTON: Layne Hilton, Kanner &
15 Whiteley on behalf of the plaintiffs.

16 MS. WHITELEY: Conlee Whiteley,
17 Kanner & Whiteley, on behalf of plaintiffs.

18 JOHN L. QUICK,
19 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

20 EXAMINATION

21 BY MS. ISIDRO:

22 Q. Good morning, Mr. Quick.

23 A. Good morning.

24 Q. My name is Nilda Isidro. I am from the
25 law firm of Greenberg Traurig and I represent

1 common to every valsartan product purchased by the
2 class members. Do you see that?

3 A. I see that.

4 Q. I'm going to ask you about a few
5 different portions of that sentence.

6 When you say that you reviewed a set of
7 documents related to defendants' noncompliance with
8 CGMPs, what are you referring to?

9 A. I'm referring to examples of
10 noncompliance with GMPs. It wasn't all exhaustive.
11 There were examples.

12 Q. How did you determine which examples you
13 would review?

14 A. Well, there is no specific determination.
15 I went through all the defendants and I pulled out
16 examples that I thought were representative of what
17 I considered to be serious examples -- serious GMP
18 situations.

19 Q. And the ones that you pulled out are the
20 ones that are listed in your report?

21 A. They are.

22 Q. Okay. And in the second part of that
23 sentence it says that you went through that
24 exercise: In order to determine whether these
25 examples of noncompliance with CGMPs are the type

1 that would impact and be common to every valsartan
2 product purchased by the class members.

3 What do you mean by that?

4 A. Well, I mean, we were talking about GMP
5 situations, not like somebody not wearing a hairnet.
6 Okay? That would not be what I would be talking
7 about here. These would be something that would
8 apply to everything.

9 Q. So is it your position that a purported
10 noncompliance with respect to ZHP's API would impact
11 a product that contained Mylan's API?

12 MR. DAVIS: Objection;
13 mischaracterizes his report.

14 A. So when I refer -- for example, relative
15 to ZHP and, for example, Teva purchasing ZHP, we
16 were talking about all of the ZHP relative to Teva
17 that would apply to all of the class relative to
18 that situation.

19 Q. So it's your position that the examples
20 that you've provided with respect to ZHP would
21 impact any product that utilized ZHP's API?

22 A. Well, yes. But to answer the question
23 you brought it out for, am I going back to say
24 relative to -- and, for example, the situation of
25 Teva and Mylan, I'm not referring back to Mylan,

Page 191

1 A. Okay. I don't know. But you're asking
2 about if the FDA required it. I think that was the
3 question?

4 Q. Is it your position that FDA would
5 require any manufacturer of finished product that
6 incorporates ZHP's valsartan API to get access to
7 ZHP's DMF for that API?

8 MR. DAVIS: Object to form.

9 A. That's not necessarily a requirement.
10 The real point, though, is that Teva should have
11 understand -- understood the process that ZHP was
12 following, either by getting access to the DMF or by
13 getting the information when they came on their
14 audits.

A horizontal bar chart with 15 rows, each representing an age group. The y-axis is labeled '15' at the top. The x-axis represents the percentage of respondents. Each row contains a black bar representing the 'Yes' response rate. The bars vary in length, indicating different levels of understanding across age groups. For example, the first row (age group 15-24) shows a high percentage of 'Yes' responses, while the second row (age group 25-34) shows a lower percentage.

Age Group	Percentage of 'Yes' Responses
15-24	~85%
25-34	~45%
35-44	~85%
45-54	~45%
55-64	~85%
65-74	~45%
75-84	~85%
85-94	~45%
95-104	~85%
105-114	~45%
115-124	~85%
125-134	~45%
135-144	~85%
145-154	~45%
155-164	~85%

[illegible]

Page 195

1

█

█

█

█

█

█

█

█

█

█

█

█

█

█

█

█

█

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Discussion off the written record.)

MS. ISIDRO: Let's go off the record

a moment.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at

4:05 p.m.

(Break.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the

1

[REDACTED]

■

■

[REDACTED]

■

[REDACTED]

■

[REDACTED]

■

[REDACTED]

■

[REDACTED]

■

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

■

[REDACTED]

■

[REDACTED]

■

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

■

■

[REDACTED]

■

[REDACTED]

■

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

■

[REDACTED]

■

[REDACTED]

■

[REDACTED]

■

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

■

[REDACTED]

■

[REDACTED]

■

■

[REDACTED]

■

[REDACTED]

■

[REDACTED]

■

[REDACTED]

■

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

■

■

[REDACTED]

973-410-4040

1 clear. You only reviewed what's on Exhibit A.
2 Right?

3 A. That's correct.

4 Q. Okay. So did you do -- did anything in
5 Exhibit A -- if you look at that list, did you do
6 anything to independently verify whether ZHP
7 conducted a formal risk assessment?

8 MR. DAVIS: Object to form.

9 You can answer.

10 A. So my role was to come up -- was to
11 identify example -- examples of CH -- of CGMP
12 deficiencies that might apply to the entire class,
13 and not -- it was not exhaustive.

14 That may be part of a later scope in this
15 process, but that's -- I did not do an independent
16 review of any other aspects of the ZHP EIR.

17 Q. Okay. So the answer to my question is,
18 no, you didn't do any independent assessment of
19 whether or not ZHP did a formal risk assessment.
20 Right?

21 MR. DAVIS: Objection. He's already
22 given his answer.

23 Q. Sir, are you going to answer my question?

24 A. The answer --

25 Q. The answer is no.

1 wrap it up because our court reporter, we've got to
2 respect the fact that she has another obligation.

3 (Discussion off the written record.)

4 MR. GOLDBERG: It's okay. We can go
5 off the record now.

6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at
7 7:00 p.m.

8 (Deposition adjourned at 7:00 p.m.)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
3 CAMDEN VICINAGE

4 IN RE: VALSARTAN, § MDL NO. 2875
5 LOSARTAN, AND §
6 IRBESARTAN PRODUCTS § HONORABLE ROBERT B. KUGLER
7 LIABILITY LITIGATION § DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

8 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
9 DEPOSITION OF JOHN L. QUICK
10 TAKEN JANUARY 27, 2022

11 I, TAMARA CHAPMAN, Certified Shorthand Reporter in
12 and for the State of Texas, hereby certify to the
13 following:

14 That the witness, JOHN L. QUICK, was duly sworn by
15 the officer and that the transcript of the oral
16 deposition is a true record of the testimony given
17 by the witness;

18 That the original deposition was delivered to
19 NILDA ISIDRO;

20 That a copy of this certificate was served on all
21 parties and/or the witness shown herein on

22 _____.

23 I further certify that pursuant to FRCP No.
24 30(f)(i) that the signature of the deponent:

25 was requested by the deponent or a party before
the completion of the deposition and that the
signature is to be returned within 30 days from date

1 of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the
2 attached Changes and Signature Page contains any
3 changes and the reasons therefor;

4 was not requested by the deponent or a party
5 before the completion of the deposition.

6 I further certify that I am neither counsel for,
7 related to, nor employed by any of the parties in
8 the action in which this proceeding was taken, and
9 further that I am not financially or otherwise
10 interested in the outcome of the action.

11 Certified to by me this 9th day of February, 2022.

12
13
14 

15 Tamara Chapman, CSR, RPR-CRR

CSR NO. 7248; Expiration Date: 12-31-22

16 Veritext Legal Solutions

Firm Registration No. 571

17 300 Throckmorton Street, Suite 1600 Fort
Worth, Texas 76102

18 800-336-4000
19
20
21
22
23
24
25